

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

	I		1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,110	08/20/2003	Peter Hans Redweik	11202	6514
26890 7590 070072008 JAMES M. STOVER TERADATA CORPORATION 2835 MIAMI VILLAGE DRIVE MIAMISBURG, OH 45342			EXAMINER	
			LEMIEUX, JESSICA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

james.stover@teradata.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/644,110 REDWEIK PETER HANS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JESSICA L. LEMIEUX 3693 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17.19-37.39-57 and 60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18. 38. 58 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/2008

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/644,110
Art Unit: 3693

 This Non-Final Office action is in response to the application filed on August 20th, 2003 and in response to the applicant's response to the Request for Information filed on April 7th, 2008. Claims 1-60 are pending and claims 14, 16, 34, 36, 54 and 56 have been amended.

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filling of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-9, 11-16, 20-29, 31-36, 40-49, 51-56 and 60 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9, 11-27, 29-45 and 47-54 of copending Application No. 10/644169. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Examiner notes that they differ only by referring to applying forecast vs. attrition rules. Attrition relates to the way in which a cash flow will be decreased over time which to one skilled in the art at the time of invention could be either positive or negative change, another way to refer to a forecast.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/644,110
Art Unit: 3693

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 2, 18, 22, 38, 42 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 22 and 42 recite the limitation "performing the FV calculations" in line

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner will
interpret this claim under the current situations to mean "performing the NPV
calculations" for the purposes of applying prior art.

Claim 18, 38 and 58 recites the limitation "Amount; = Amount * ..." It is unclear which "Amount" the equation is referring to. Examiner will interpret this claim under the current situations to mean "Amount_o" for the purposes of applying prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 21-23, 27, 41-43 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent Number 7,082,411 to Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson).

As per claims 1, 21 and 41

Johnson discloses selecting accounts, amounts and rates (asset data and discount factor) from account data stored in a database using selection criteria specified by one or more rules (column 4, lines 10-19) and performing one or more Net Present Value (NPV) calculations on the selected accounts by applying one or more NPV

Art Unit: 3693

forecast rules (discount factor) to the selected accounts using the selected amounts and rates, wherein the NPV calculations determine a present value of an expected profitability value (score) of current products (column 9, lines 3-26).

Examiner notes that Johnson teaches a discount factor. One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would understand that a discount factor is a rate used for forecasting either by increasing or decreasing future cash flows to obtain a net present value (NPV). Examiner also notes that the act of "retrieving data" based on "given criteria" is in it of itself selection criteria. The rules by which this data is retrieved can be anything such as a rule to only access the required information instead of always retrieving everything and anything possible in the database. Examiner asserts that there must be some set of rules/guidelines to select information, otherwise the correct/required information wouldn't be accessed. Also, Johnson teaches a discount factor (column 9, lines 3-26), which would have inherently needed to be accessed from a database to use in the determination of NPV. One skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made would understand that a discount factor is a rate used to discount or decrease future cash flows to obtain a net present value.

As per claims 3, 23 and 43

Johnson discloses the NPV is a net present profitability value (column 9, lines 1-2).

As per claims 4, 24 and 44

Johnson discloses the selected accounts contain current profitability values (current appraisal amount) (column 18, lines 8-20). Examiner notes that C_{o} is the investment at time 0 and therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that a current profitability value would be the value at the present time, time 0.

As per claims 5, 25 and 45

Johnson discloses the current profitability data is aggregated to provide an initial amount for the NPV calculations (C_0) (column 9, lines 6 and 9).

As per claims 7, 27 and 47

Johnson discloses the selected rates are NPV forecast rates (discount factor) (column 9, lines 3-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the Application/Control Number: 10/644,110
Art Unit: 3693

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 2, 10, 22, 30, 42 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 7,082,411 to Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson)

in view of US Patent Number 5,812,988 to Sandretto (hereinafter Sandretto).

As per claims 2, 22 and 42

Johnson does not specifically teach applying NPV forecast rules to the selected accounts and applying the NPV attrition rules to results of the forecast rules.

Sandretto teaches applying NPV forecast rules to the selected accounts and applying the NPV attrition rules to results of the forecast rules (column 8, line 60-column 9, line 9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to apply NPV forecast rules to the selected accounts and applying the NPV attrition rules to results of the forecast rules as taught by Sandretto to account for both the increases and decreases of value needed to more accurately estimate future value.

As per claims 10, 30 and 50

Johnson discloses matching the NPV forecast rule against the selected accounts (column 4, lines 10-15 and column 9, lines 3-11), calculating amounts for each forecast period using the NPV forecast rule (column 9, lines 3-11) and storing the amounts (Figure 14, column 4, lines 10-19, column 5, line 37-column 6, line 2 and column 10, lines 30-60). Examiner notes that Johnson further discloses assessing asset and respective data using an iterative and adaptive process (column 4, lines 10-13).

Johnson does not specifically teach obtaining an amount to be forecast from the matched accounts using forecast amount selection criteria specified in the NPV forecast rule, obtaining account level information needed from the matched account data, obtaining an Assumed Cash Flow for the matched accounts, obtaining a Contractual Cash Flow from matched accounts and mapping remaining terms of the matched accounts to forecast periods.

Sandretto teaches obtaining an amount to be forecast from the matched accounts using forecast amount selection criteria specified in the NPV forecast rule, obtaining account level information needed from the matched account data (an initial set of cash flows), obtaining an Assumed Cash Flow and a Contractual Cash Flow from matched accounts (additional estimated cash flows based upon different estimates for one or more economic variables) and mapping remaining terms of the matched accounts to forecast periods (initial input risk measure, inflation rate, initial discount rate) (column 8, line 53- column 9, line 19 and column 14, lines 20-61).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the NPV of Johnson to include obtaining an amount to be forecast from the matched accounts using forecast amount selection criteria specified in the NPV

Art Unit: 3693

forecast rule, obtaining account level information needed from the matched account data, obtaining an Assumed Cash Flow for the matched accounts, obtaining a Contractual Cash Flow from matched accounts and mapping remaining terms of the matched accounts to forecast periods as taught by Sandretto to increase the accuracy of the NPV calculation.

 Claims 6, 26 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 7,082,411 to Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson) in view of US Patent Number 5,852,811 to Atkins (hereinafter Atkins).

As per claims 6, 26 and 46

Johnson does not specifically teach the selected amounts are forecast amounts. Atkins discloses the selected amounts are forecast amounts (projected future value of the asset) (column 25, lines 39-45 & 59-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the selected amounts are forecast amounts as taught by Atkins as a type of selected amount found in the database to select in order to determine values and rates regarding the asset utilizing the time value money equations.

8. Claims 8-9, 11-16, 20, 28-29, 31-36, 40, 48-49, 51-56 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 7,082,411 to Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson) in view of the Fundamentals of Financial Management by Kuhlemeyer (hereinafter Kuhlemeyer).

As per claims 8, 28 and 48

Johnson does not specifically teach a user specifies one or more forecast periods over which the NPV calculations are performed.

Kuhlemeyer teaches a user specifies one or more forecast periods over which the NPV calculations are performed (slides 5, 10 and 11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to permit a user to specify one or more forecast periods over which the NPV calculations are performed as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow comparisons of future values at different time periods. It is required to recognize a range of situations including the worst case in order to make a business judgment considering a measure for risk management.

As per claims 9, 29 and 49

Art Unit: 3693

Johnson does not specifically teach a user specifies one or more rates for the forecast periods.

Kuhlemeyer teaches a user specifies one or more rates for the forecast periods (slides 5, 10 and 11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to permit a user to specify one or more rates for the forecast periods as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow comparisons of future values at different time periods using specific rates. It is required to recognize a range of situations including the worst case in order to make a business judgment considering a measure for risk management.

As per claims 11, 31 and 51

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (no compounding) method according to:

Amount_i = Amount_o * $(1 + R_0)$ * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount_o is the initial amount, R_0 is the initial rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period.

Kuhlemeyer teaches teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (no compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + R_0) * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), R_0 is the initial rate (i), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period (slides 6, 8, & 11). Examiner notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j+1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j+1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (no compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + R_o) * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount, is the initial amount, R_o is the initial rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period as a specific time value of money equation as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for a calculation of the future value of present money without compounding.

As per claims 12, 32 and 52

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (with compounding) method according to:

Amount_i =Amount_o * $(1 + R_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ * ((k - j + 1) / 12) where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount_o is the initial amount, R_m is the monthly rate, i is the

Art Unit: 3693

forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period.

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, =Amount, * $(1 + \hat{R}_m)^i * ((k \cdot j + 1) / 12)$ where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), R_m is the monthly rate (i), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period (slides 8, 11 & 24). Examiner notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j +1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j +1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, =Amount, * $(1 + R_m)^i * ((k - j + 1) / 12)$ where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount, is the initial amount, R_m is the monthly rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period as a specific time value of money equation as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for a calculation of the future value of present money with compounding.

As per claims 13, 33 and 53

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36).

Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises an Additive (no compounding) method according to:

Amount_i = Amount_o * $(1 + i * (R_o / 12)) * ((k - j + 1) / 12)$ where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount_o is the initial amount, R_o is the initial rate, is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period.

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV forecast rule comprises an Additive (no compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * $(1+i^*(R_o/12))^*((k-j+1)/12)$ where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), R_o is the initial rate (i), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period (slides 8, 11 & 24). Examiner notes that (i * $(R_o/12))$ can be rearranged to its equivalent (R_o*(i/12)). Therefore, although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach (i/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use (i/12) to denote a rate proportionate to the duration of time year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time. Examiner further notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j+1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j+1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Art Unit: 3693

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises an Additive (no compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + i * (R_o / 12)) * ((k - j + 1)/ 12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount, is the initial amount, R_o is the initial rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period as a specific value of money equation as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for an additive calculation of the future value of present money without compounding.

As per claims 14, 34 and 54

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises an Additive (with compounding) method according to:

Amount_i = Amount_o* (1 + Compounded_Rate) * (($k_j + 1$)/12) where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount_o is the initial amount (PV), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and Compounded Rate is Rate_i * Rate₂ * ... * Rate_i (j).

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV attrition rule comprises an Additive (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, of (1 + Compounded_Rate) * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and Compounded_Rate is Rate, * Rate, * Rate, * steel, * Rate, * * Rate, whereby when the rates are equivalent could be rewritten as Rate. * Rate, * steel, * Rate, whereby when the rates are equivalent could be rewritten as Rate. * Rate, * in essence another value or rate that the reference teaches in slides 8 and 11. Examiner further notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j+1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j+1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises an Additive (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + Compounded_Rate) * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), i is the forecast period, (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and Compounded_Rate is Rate, * Rate, * * Rate, (i) as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for an additive calculation of the future value of present money with compounding.

Art Unit: 3693

As per claims 15, 35 and 55

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Manual (no compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount₀ * $(1 + R_{man})$ * ((k - j + 1) / 12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount₀ is the initial amount, R_{man} is the manual rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period.

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV forecast rule comprises a Manual (no compounding) method according to:

Amount_i = Amount₀ * (1 + R_{man}) * ((k -j + 1)/12) where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount_i is the initial amount (PV), R_{man} is the manual rate (i), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period (slides 8, 11 & 24). Examiner notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j +1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j +1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, =Amount, * (1 + $\tilde{R}_m)^i$ * ((k-j-t1) / 12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period. Amount, is the initial amount, R_m is the monthly rate, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, and k is the last month in a forecast period as a specific time value of money equation as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for a manual calculation of the future value of present money without compounding.

As per claims 16, 36 and 56

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Manual (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, = $Amount_0$ * (1 + $Compounded_Rate$) * ((k · j + 1) / 12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, $Amount_0$ is the initial amount, i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and $Compounded_Rate$ is $Rate_1$ * $Rate_2$ * ... * $Rate_3$ * $Rate_4$ * $Rate_5$ * ... * $Rate_6$ * ... * $Rate_6$ * ... * $Rate_6$ * Rate

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV forecast rule comprises a Manual (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + Compounded_Rate) * ((k-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), i is the forecast period, j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and Compounded_Rate is Rate, * Rate, * Rate, (slides 8, 11 & 24). Examiner notes that a compounded rate to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would be found by (1+Rate,)*(1+Rate,)*(...*(Rate,), whereby when the rates

Art Unit: 3693

are equivalent would be the equivalent of (1+Rate) which the reference clearly shows in slides 8 and 11. However, as written examiner notes that Compounded_Rate is Rate₁ * Rate₂ * ... * Rate_i whereby when the rates are equivalent could be rewritten as Rate. Rate is in essence another value or rate that the reference teaches in slides 8 and 11. Examiner further notes that although Kuhlemeyer does not specifically teach ((k-j+1)/12) it uses a forecast period measured by years and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use ((k-j+1)/12) to denote a proportion of a year to enable use of the same equation for shorter periods of time.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises a Manual (with compounding) method according to:

Amount, = Amount, * (1 + Compounded_Rate) * ((K-j + 1)/12) where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount, is the initial amount (PV), i is the forecast period (n), j is the first month in a forecast period, k is the last month in a forecast period, and Compounded_Rate is Rate₁ * Rate₂ * ... * Rate_i (i) as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for an additive calculation of the future value of present money with compounding.

As per claims 20, 40 and 60

Johnson discloses calculating the time value of money (column 12, lines 34-36). Johnson does not specifically teach the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant method according to:

Amount_i = Amount_o where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount_o is the initial amount.

Kuhlemeyer teaches the NPV forecast comprises a Constant method according to:

Amount_i = Amount_o where Amount_i is the calculated amount by forecast period (FV), Amount_o is the initial amount (PV) (slide 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the NPV forecast rule comprises a Constant method according to:

Amount, = Amount, where Amount, is the calculated amount by forecast period, Amount, is the initial amount, and i is the forecast period as taught by Kuhlemeyer to allow for a constant calculation of the future value of present money.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 17, 19, 37, 39, 57 and 59 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,110 Page 12

Art Unit: 3693

10. Claims 18, 38 and 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims pending results of 27 CFR 1.105.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Number 6,901,406 to Nabe et al. discloses models used to determine profitability analysis, and probability scores in relation to response, attrition and risk. US Patent Number 7,249,138 to Wasserman discloses performing financial processing by selecting accounts from a database and performing profitability calculations on the accounts selected from the database. US Patent Application Number US2002/0174049 to Kitahara discloses an analysis processor of profit models. WIPO Publication Number WO03/067395 to Breeden et al. discloses a modeling engine to determine forecasts from a portfolio database.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to JESSICA L. LEMIEUX whose telephone number is
 (571)270-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8AM5PM.

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A. Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693 Jessica L Lemieux Examiner Art Unit 3693

/J. L. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3693 July 2008